Extreme learning machines for variance-based global sensitivity analysis

John Darges

Department of Mathematics North Carolina State University jedarges@ncsu.edu

August 10, 2022

Supported by NSF through awards DMS-1745654 and DMS 1953271.

John Darges (JSM 2022) **August 10, 2022** 1/18

Collaborators

Alen Alexanderian - alexanderian@ncsu.edu Department of Mathematics North Carolina State University

Pierre Gremaud - gremaud@ncsu.edu Department of Mathematics North Caroli[na](#page-0-0) [S](#page-2-0)[t](#page-0-0)[ate](#page-1-0) [Un](#page-0-0)[iv](#page-17-0)[ers](#page-0-0)[ity](#page-17-0) **E**

Motivating example - genetic oscillator

Biochemical model describing circadian rhythm regulation:

Which rate constants need to be measured most accurately so we can determine the concentration of R?

Image credit $¹$ </sup>

1 J.G. Vilar, H.Y. Kueh, N. Barkai, S. Leibler. Mechanisms of noise-resistance [in](#page-1-0) [ge](#page-3-0)[ne](#page-1-0)[tic](#page-2-0) [osc](#page-0-0)[illa](#page-17-0)[tor](#page-0-0)[s.](#page-17-0) [20](#page-0-0)[02.](#page-17-0) John Darges (JSM 2022) August 10, 2022 3 / 18

Introduction: Variance-based global sensitivity analysis

- **•** Consider a model $y = f(x)$ where $y \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \sim \pi(x)$ has independently distributed entries
- Sobol' indices are invaluable tools for GSA which measure the contribution of each input to variance in model output:

$$
S_k := \frac{\mathrm{var}[f_k(x_k)]}{\mathrm{var}[f(\mathbf{x})]}, \quad S_k^{\mathcal{T}} := 1 - \frac{\mathrm{var}[\mathbb{E}(f(\mathbf{x})|x_j, j \neq k)]}{\mathrm{var}[f(\mathbf{x})]}
$$

 $f_k(x_k) := \int f(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}_{-k} - \mathbb{E}(f\mathbf{x})$, where $d\mathbf{x}_{-k}$ denotes integrating over all inputs $\mathbf{except}\ x_k$

- **•** First order Sobol' index S_k measures influence of x_k outside of interactions
- Total Sobol' index $S_k^{\mathcal{T}}$ measures influence of x_k **including** interactions with other inputs

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A}$

Introduction: Computing Sobol' indices

- Monte Carlo (MC) methods generally used to estimate Sobol' indices
- \bullet However this is intractable when f is costly to evaluate
- Instead can construct surrogate model $\hat{f} \approx f$ which is cheap to evaluate
- Some surrogate models (e.g. polynomial chaos², Gaussian processes³) admit analytic formulas for Sobol' indices

 $3A$. Marrel. B. Iooss, B. Laurent, O. Roustant. Calculations of Sobol indices for the gaussian process metamodel. 2009. $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \times & \square & \times & \times \end{array} \right.$ and $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \square & \times & \times & \square & \times \end{array} \right.$

 QQ

John Darges (JSM 2022) **August 10, 2022** 5/18

²B. Sudret. Global sensitivity analysis using polynomial chaos expansions. 2008.

Neural network-based GSA tools

- Let $y=f(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}})$, where $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{x}}\in[0,1]^d$ has independent uniformly distributed entries
- \bullet f is computationally expensive to evaluate and/or input dimension d is large
- Can we develop a neural network-based surrogate method which admits analytic formulas for Sobol' indices?

Background: Single layer neural networks

A single layer neural network has the form $\hat{f}(\pmb{x}) = \pmb{\beta}^\top \left(\phi\left(\pmb{\mathsf{W}}\pmb{x} + \pmb{b} \right) \right)$

We train the neural network by solving the nonlinear least squares problem for training points $({\bf x}_1, y_1), ..., ({\bf x}_m, y_m)$, where $y_i = \hat{f}({\bf x}_i)$

$$
\arg\min_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sum_{i=1}^m (\hat{f}(\mathbf{x}_i;\mathbf{W},\mathbf{b},\boldsymbol{\beta}) - y_i)^2
$$

Background: Extreme learning machines

- **W**, **b** independently sampled randomly (e.g. from standard normal distribution)⁴
- \bullet Solve the L_2 regularized linear least squares problem to find output weights

$$
\underset{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{\arg\min}\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\beta}-\mathbf{y}\|_2^2+\frac{\alpha}{2}\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_2^2
$$

•
$$
\mathbf{y} = [y_1 \cdots y_m]^\top
$$
 and $H_{ij} = \phi(\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b_j)$

Computationally quick and easy to use but requires more hidden layer neurons

We determine the regularization parameter α by the L-curve method⁵

 Ω

⁴G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, C.-K. Siew. Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications. 2006. 5P[.](#page-6-0) C. Hansen. Getting Serious: Choosing the Regularization Parameter 2010. John Darges (JSM 2022) August 10, 2022 8 / 18

Variance-based GSA with ELMs

- Analytically integrating ELM surrogate should be easy if we want Sobol' index formulas
- Common ML activation functions (e.g. sigmoid) do not make integration easy
- \bullet However, activation function can be any smooth non-polynomial function⁶
- Set $\phi(t)=e^{t}\longrightarrow$ we derive analytic formulas in terms of $\bm{b},\bm{\mathsf{W}},$ and $\bm{\beta}$
- After training ELM, **obtain Sobol' indices for free**⁷

$$
S(\hat{f}) = S(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{W}, \beta), \quad S^{\mathsf{T}}(\hat{f}) = S^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{W}, \beta)
$$

⁶G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, C.-K. Siew. Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications. 2006. 7 J. Darges, A. Alexanderian, P.A. Gremaud. Extreme learning machines for variance-based global sensitivity analysis. 2022. $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \math$ 299

John Darges (JSM 2022) August 10, 2022 9 / 18

Genetic oscillator

- Stiff ODE system (expensive to solve)
- 16 reaction rate parameters are uncertain
- Each parameter uniformly distributed in interval $\pm 5\%$ of respective nominal value
- Study average concentration in time of species R as Qol:

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T R(t; \mathbf{x}) dt
$$

8 J.G. Vilar, H.Y. Kueh, N. Barkai, S. Leibler. Mechanisms of noise-resistance [in](#page-8-0) [ge](#page-10-0)[ne](#page-8-0)[tic](#page-9-0) [osc](#page-0-0)[illa](#page-17-0)[tor](#page-0-0)[s.](#page-17-0) [20](#page-0-0)[02.](#page-17-0)

GSA for genetic oscillator using ELM surrogate

Experimental setup: 3000 training size, 1000 hidden layers, $\alpha = 10^{-4}$

ELM surrogate overestimates higher-order indices compared to MC⁹

 9 M. Merritt. A. Alexanderian, P.A. Gremaud. Multiscale global sensitivity analysis for stochastic chemical systems. 2021. 299

John Darges (JSM 2022) August 10, 2022 11 / 18

ELM and variable interactions

Consider $f_\delta(\bm{x})=\sum_{k=1}^{15}x_k+\delta\prod_{j=1}^d(1+x_j),\,\,\bm{x}\in[0,1]^{15}$ where δ controls variable interactions **Note:** Interaction indices $S_i^{\text{int}} = S_i^T - S_i$ are the same for all inputs

ELM surrogate overestimates higher-order indices when int[era](#page-10-0)[cti](#page-12-0)[o](#page-10-0)[ns](#page-11-0) [ar](#page-0-0)[e](#page-17-0) [ne](#page-0-0)[gli](#page-17-0)[gi](#page-0-0)[ble](#page-17-0)

- **Issue:** ELM may overestimate higher order Sobol' indices
- Higher order Sobol' indices correspond to influence of interactions
- **Idea:** We can reduce influence of interaction terms by making inner weight matrix sparse

• Sparse weight matrix
$$
\mathbf{W}_s = \mathbf{B} \circ \mathbf{W}
$$
, where $\mathbf{B}_{ij} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{with probability } p, \\ 1 & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{array} \right.$

 \bullet How do we know which p to use?

Sparse weight ELM

Sparse Weight ELM: Choose p by testing which value gives the best surrogate error on a validation set

John Darges (JSM 2022) August 10, 2022 14 / 18

つへへ

GSA for genetic oscillator using SW-ELM

Standard ELM surrogate (left) compared to SW-ELM (right) with $p = 0.9$

Note: SW-ELM also performs well with FAR fewer training points

Summary and future work

- \bullet We use ELM as a quick and easy tool for variance-based GSA 10
- With exponential activation function, we derive analytic expressions of Sobol' indices for uniformly and normally distributed inputs
- After training surrogate, we obtain Sobol' indices for no additional cost
- Sparse weight ELM improves GSA performance without sacrificing speed and simplicity of ELM
- Can we develop measures or heuristics to give information about variable interactions of black box functions?

 10 J. Darges, A. Alexanderian, P.A. Gremaud. Extreme learning machines for variance-based global sensitivity analysis. 2022. $\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A}$ QQ

References I

- [1] J. Darges, A. Alexanderian, and P. Gremaud. Extreme learning machines for variance-based global sensitivity analysis. preprint, 01 2022.
- [2] P. C. Hansen. Getting Serious: Choosing the Regularization Parameter, chapter 5, pages 85–107. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2010. doi: 10.1137/1.9780898718836.ch5.
- [3] G.-B. Huang, Q.-Y. Zhu, and C.-K. Siew. Extreme learning machine: Theory and applications. Neurocomputing, 70(1):489–501, 2006. ISSN 0925-2312. Neural Networks.
- [4] A. Marrel, B. Iooss, B. Laurent, and O. Roustant. Calculations of Sobol indices for the Gaussian process metamodel. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 94(3):742-751, 2009.
- [5] M. Merritt, A. Alexanderian, and P. A. Gremaud. Multiscale global sensitivity analysis for stochastic chemical systems. Multiscale Modeling & Simulation, $19(1)$:440-459, 2021.

 299

References II

- [6] A. B. Owen. Appendix A: The ANOVA decomposition of $[0,1]^d$. In Monte Carlo theory, methods and examples. Art B. Owen, 2013. URL <https://statweb.stanford.edu/~owen/mc/>.
- [7] A. Saltelli and I. Sobol'. Sensitivity analysis for nonlinear mathematical models: numerical experience. Matematicheskoe Modelirovanie, 7(11):16–28, 1995.
- [8] A. Saltelli, M. Ratto, T. Andres, F. Campolongo, J. Cariboni, D. Gatelli, M. Saisana, and S. Tarantola. Global sensitivity analysis: the primer. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [9] B. Sudret. Global sensitivity analysis using polynomial chaos expansions. Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 93(7):964–979, 2008. ISSN 0951-8320. Bayesian Networks in Dependability.
- [10] J. M. Vilar, H. Y. Kueh, N. Barkai, and S. Leibler. Mechanisms of noise-resistance in genetic oscillators. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(9):5988–5992, 2002. $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \pm & \pm & \pm \end{array} \right.$ and $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \pm & \pm & \pm \end{array} \right.$ and $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \pm & \pm & \pm \end{array} \right.$

 QQQ